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ABSTRACT

We have studied the ion bombardment induced amorphous-to-crystal transition in silicon
using molecular dynamics techniques. The growth of small crystal seeds embedded in the
amorphous phase has been monitored for several temperatures in order to get information on
the effect of the thermal temperature increase introduced by the incoming ion. The role of
ion-induced defects on the growth has been also studied.

INTRODUCTION

The amorphous to crystal transformation in silicon is of considerable technological
interest because of its potential applications in the field of microelectronic processing [1]. The
crystallization of amorphous silicon starts with the nucleation of small crystal clusters.
Initially, these clusters are unstable because of the large surface-to-volume ratio and therefore
tend to shrink. However, from thermodynamic considerations a few of them will become
large enough for growth to be favoured. The nucleation and growth of small crystal clusters
are thermally activated processes that have been described theoretically [2] and experimentally
in silicon [3].

Ion irradiation has been shown to dramatically enhance the solid phase crystallization of
silicon with respect to the pure thermal process [4,5]. In particular, when amorphous silicon is
bombarded by xenon ions at 1.5 MeV, the nucleation and growth rates can be enhanced by
eight and four orders of magnitude, respectively, with respect to the pure thermal processes
[4]. These experimental studies showed that the ion bombardment induced crystallization is
controlled by beam parameters such as dose rate and average energy deposited in the solid by
elastic collisions [5]. However, some of the aspects of the ion beam induced recrystallization
are not yet fully understood.

While molecular dynamics (MD) simulation is a powerful tool that can be used to study
ion-induced dynamical processes at the atomic scale, the conditions of the experiment (doses
of 10^{15} cm^{-2} s^{-1} and energies above 1.5 MeV) are far beyond the scope of the MD method.
Nevertheless simple situations, such as defect-induced motion of a crystal-amorphous
interface [6], can be simulated and some qualitative and quantitative data can be obtained. We
have carried out molecular dynamics simulations in order to get some insight into the
phenomenon of the growth of small crystal clusters embedded in an amorphous matrix when
it is ion bombarded.

MODEL

The most important feature in an MD simulation is the selection of the potential that
describes the interactions between the atoms. We have used the Stillinger-Weber (SW)
potential for silicon [7]. This potential is widely used since it is quite simple (a combination
of two-body and three-body terms) and describes fairly well the properties of both crystal and
liquid phases of silicon. Of special interest in our study is the fact that the melting point of crystal silicon predicted by the potential ($T_{cl}=1691$ K) is nearly the same as the value obtained experimentally.

The amorphous samples used in this study consist of 4096 and 32768 atoms. They were prepared by first melting (8x8x8)a$_0$ and (16x16x16)a$_0$ perfect crystal lattices, respectively, where a$_0$ is the equilibrium lattice parameter for silicon (a$_0=5.428$ Å). Afterwards, the two liquids were slowly cooled and the final amorphous structures were relaxed at 773 K (500 °C), since this is the temperature that has been used in the ion-induced crystallization experiments [5]. All the simulations were carried out at constant volume using periodic boundary conditions.

Since we are interested in the study of the growth behavior of crystal seeds in an amorphous matrix we inserted small crystalline clusters in the amorphous structures. In the case of the large sample we created a spherical hole in its center with a radius of 3.5a$_0$ where we inserted a perfect crystal grain with a radius of 3.3a$_0$. The sample was allowed to relax and equilibrate at 773 K for several picoseconds. We carried out the same procedure in the small sample creating a hole with a radius of 2.2a$_0$ and inserting a crystal grain with a radius of 2a$_0$. Since the holes are bigger than the inserted crystal grains, the total number of atoms is lower than in the pure amorphous samples: 32544 in the case of the big sample and 3982 in the case of the small one. This is not a problem since the crystal and amorphous silicon have different densities (the amorphous has 1% lower density than the crystal, both experimentally [8] and by simulation using the Stillinger-Weber potential [9]), and during the relaxation period the two structures evolve to match this density difference. At the end, the atoms that lie on the interface are on average fourfold coordinated, so there are no vacancies present.

In order to monitor the growth of these small crystal seeds during our MD simulations it is necessary to use some procedure that allows the identification of the two phases in an effective way. Conventional criteria based on potential energy or coordination calculations are not effective in this case since the energetics and coordination of the atoms in the amorphous and crystalline phases are very similar [10]. We have observed that by averaging the atom coordinates in the simulations for several femtoseconds the angular distribution of the crystal phase becomes narrower, due to the elimination of the thermal vibrations in the averaging process, while in the amorphous case it remains unchanged. This difference in behavior upon time averaging allows the introduction of a valid criterion to identify the amorphous and crystal phases of silicon. A more detailed description of this criterion is given elsewhere [11].

RESULTS

In order to compare to known experimental results we first annealed the 32544 atom sample for 350 ps at 773 K. No net grain growth was observed. This behavior is in agreement with the experiments on solid phase crystallization of amorphous silicon [3], where the obtained growth velocity at 773 K is lower than 1 Å/min, a rate that is clearly out of range of feasible MD simulations. However, as we shall see, the ion bombardment can affect the growth kinetics in such a way that makes it possible to monitor the process in the MD simulation time scale.

As it has been pointed out by Wang et al., the influence that ion bombardment has on crystal growth can be divided into thermal spike effects and damage induced effects [12]. The former are related to the heating of localized zones in the target, and the latter with those atoms that have been displaced from their initial positions and have potential energies above the ground state. These two effects could lead to either amorphization or crystallization of the target, but in our case we will be only interested in the conditions for the crystallization.
Thermal spike effects

The zones heated during the thermal spike regime, as it has been shown by molecular dynamics simulations [13], can be brought well above the melting point of crystal silicon or, in particular, above the melting point of amorphous silicon. The amorphous silicon described by the Stillinger-Weber potential melts via a first order phase transition at $T_{AL}=1060$ K [14], a value 360 K below the experimental one [15].

We have observed that the growth rate of the crystal seeds increases with annealing temperature between $T_{AL}$ and $T_{CL}$. We prepared the 3982 atom sample at several temperatures (1100 K, 1175 K, 1250 K, 1375 K and 1400 K) by means of velocity rescaling and monitored the growth of the crystal grain during annealing for more than 600 ps. In the simulation we made rescaling of the velocities every picosecond in order to maintain a constant temperature. This is necessary since the energy released by the crystallization process accumulates, increasing the temperature of the sample. This heat released can enhance the transformation of the nearby amorphous material, producing an explosive crystallization [16].

For all these temperatures the crystal grain in the small sample is big enough to grow, i.e. its size is above the critical to show spontaneous growth. Initially, the grain grows linearly and maintains its spherical shape. Figure 1 shows the evolution of the grain radius with time for the different temperatures, as well as the best linear fits. The slope of these fits determine the growth rates $v_G$ which are also displayed in Figure 1. The values are somewhat smaller than those obtained in the simulation of laser induced epitaxial crystallization of silicon [17], probably due to the different interface geometry.

Close to the end of the simulations at temperatures of 1250 K and above, the grain adopted a cubic shape and the crystal-amorphous interfaces were (111) planes. This is a logical result since the epitaxial growth of the (111) face has the lowest growth rate, both experimentally [18] and by simulation using the SW potential [17]. The further growth from the (111) face frequently showed twinning along the <211> direction. In some cases we also observed crystallization in the hexagonal diamond structure, which is not surprising since the SW potential has the cutoff between the first and second neighbor shells and the energetics of the hexagonal and cubic diamond are the same [7].

The rates $v_G$ are represented in Figure 2 as an Arrhenius plot. We fitted these data to a function of the form:

$$v_G = V_G \exp(-E_G/k_B T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where we obtained:

$$V_G = 1.409 \times 10^4 \text{ m/s}, \quad E_G = 1.03 \text{ eV}$$

The classical theory of growth predicts that the growth velocity should be proportional to $v \exp(-\Delta G/k_B T)$, where $v$ is the rate at which atoms arrive at the amorphous-crystal interface and $\Delta G$ is the free energy barrier that an atom in the amorphous phase must overcome to transform to the crystalline phase [3]. $v$ is proportional to the diffusion coefficient $D$ in the amorphous phase which follows Arrhenius behavior:

$$D = D_0 \exp(-E_D/k_B T)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

Consequently, $E_G$ should be equal to $\Delta G + E_D$. We have carried out MD simulations to
Figure 1. Evolution of the grain radius versus time for different temperatures. The solid lines are linear fits whose slopes define the growth rates \( v_G \).

Figure 2. Arrenhius plot for the growth rates \( v_G \). The solid line is the best fit to Eq. 1.

determine the values of \( E_D \) and \( D_0 \) between \( T=770 \) K and \( T=1700 \) K. We monitored the mean square displacement for the atoms in the amorphous state for more than 1 ns. \( D \) can be determined using the well known Einstein relation:

\[
D = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{N} (\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(0))^2}{6Nt}
\]

where \( N \) is the number of atoms in the amorphous sample. The values obtained for \( D \) are represented in Figure 3 as a function of temperature. The dashed lines are fits to an Arrhenius form, and the solid line shows previous results of Grabow et al. [17]. The discrepancy between our results and Grabow’s can be explained if we take into account that their sample is a supercooled liquid and ours a superheated amorphous. Consequently, some difference in the atom mobility may be expected, since the sample preparation, and possibly the average coordination, are not the same.

The value for \( \Delta G \) is 0.34 eV in the range of temperatures where we have studied the crystal growth. Below \( T_{AK} \), the diffusion coefficients are of an order of magnitude lower. But the decrease in the atom mobility is not enough to justify the low growth rate obtained experimentally for temperatures around 800 K. Consequently, below \( T_{AK} \), the barrier \( \Delta G \) should be higher. To get the same growth rate obtained in the experiments (1 Å/min at 825 K [3]), \( \Delta G \) should be 1.7 eV, and thus \( E_G=2.5 \) eV, which is not very far from the experimental value of 3.1 eV [3].

**Induced damage effects**

In order to study the influence of ion-irradiation induced defects upon the growth behavior we put several low energy recoils in the amorphous matrix and monitored the evolution of the crystal grain size. We used the 32544 atom sample at 773 K with 20 eV recoils initiated in the amorphous matrix. For this energy, the average range is approximately
2.4 Å and the probability of producing a permanent displacement (a defect) is 93% [6]. In the simulations we set 20 simultaneous recoils with their positions randomly chosen on a sphere with a radius of 3.5σ0. This radius is half a lattice unit bigger than the grain radius, approximately the range of the recoils. The velocities of the recoils were always directed towards the center of the crystal grain. The temperature of the atoms close to the cell boundaries were held fixed at 773 K using Brownian dynamics [19]. We followed the evolution of the system for 26 ps. This time is more than enough to dissipate the energy introduced by the recoils and reach again thermal equilibrium at 773 K. In order to accumulate the effects of the recoils, the final configuration was the starting point for the next simulation. We kept constant the distance between the grain surface and the sphere where the recoils were started, as well as the ratio surface of the sphere/number of recoils in order to get a uniform rate in the grain growth. Therefore, as the grain grew we increased the number of recoils and the size of the sphere in the proportion mentioned.

The number of crystal atoms as a function of time is plotted in Figure 4. For the sake of comparison, we show as well the evolution in the pure thermal case. As it can be seen, there is no net growth in the thermal process, while in the case of the recoils growth is taking place. Clearly, at this temperature the injection of defects in the amorphous matrix near the crystal grain interface is necessary in order to produce noticeable growth. In other words, the mobility of the atoms in the amorphous phase at 773 K is too small to produce the reconstruction of the crystal lattice, but the recoils generate defects, i.e., atoms in a high potential energy state, that can easily anneal out by jumping to perfect crystal lattice positions at this low temperature when they are close to the crystal-amorphous interface. This is consistent with several experimental results where it is observed that the crystal grain growth rate is higher when the silicon is bombarded by heavy ions since they produce more defects [5], and with previous MD studies of the defect-induced recrystallization of a planar crystal-amorphous interface [6]. The slope of the curve gives a crystal growth rate of 0.5 m/s.
CONCLUSIONS

We have simulated the ion beam induced amorphous-to-crystal transition in silicon. We took into account thermal spike and ion-induced damage effects, showing that in both cases the growth rate increases with respect to the pure thermal process. The growth of crystal seeds embedded in the amorphous matrix was characterized for several temperatures, and twinning due to changes in the layer stacking during crystallization was observed. The same kind of twining has been observed in experiments on ion beam induced growth of preimplanted seeds in amorphous silicon [20]. This fact suggests that the local heating of the amorphous phase due to the ion bombardment plays an important role in the microstructural evolution of small crystal seeds.
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